

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/822,384 04/12/2004		Jin Kook Jung	8021-224 (SS-19575-US)	2837	
22150	7590 07/17/2006		EXAMINER		
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD			SANDVIK, BENJAMIN P		
	Y, NY 11797		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2826		
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/17/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/822,38	4	JUNG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ben P. Sa	ndvik	2826				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
Period fo	• •							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS INTERIOR IS LONGER INTERIOR INTERIO	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. I period will apply and will I statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICATION and, however, may a reply be timed to be some ABANDONE.	J. nely filed the mailing date of this cond D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <i>02 May 2006</i> .						
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the International	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	tie)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-4 in the reply filed on 5/2/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that simultaneous examination of groups 1 and 2 would not present undue burden because both groups have subject matter classified in class 438. This is not found persuasive because the device invention of group 1 can be examined by searching only class 257, whereas group 2 requires a search of class 438.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Furthermore, the applicant elected the specie of Figure 4, which corresponds to claims 1-4. It appears as though the election of claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 5/2/2006 is a typographical error. For the purposes of this action it is assumed that the election of the specie of Figure 4 corresponds to claims 1-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,384

Art Unit: 2826

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ho et al (U.S. PG Pub #2004/0033689).

With respect to **claim 1**, Ho teaches a semiconductor device comprising a semiconductor substrate (Fig. 7a, 100); dummy patterns (Fig. 6, 102c) for a CMP method (Paragraph 2) formed in a uniform pattern over the semiconductor substrate; and marking patterns (Figs. 6 and 7, 102a) that are formed over the semiconductor substrate to correspond to predetermined groups of the dummy patterns.

With respect to **claim 2**, Ho teaches that the marking patterns have a different shape from the dummy patterns (Fig. 6, marking pattern 102a is square and dummy patterns 102c are rectangular).

With respect to **claim 3**, Ho teaches that the marking patterns 102a have a different size from the dummy patterns 102c.

With respect to **claim 4**, Ho teaches that the marking patterns are smaller than the dummy patterns (Figs. 6 and 7).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ben P. Sandvik whose telephone number is (571) 272-8446. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,384 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bps

EVAN PERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER